

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 66309-135-2 9524 09/913,408 09/18/2001 Silvio Montagner 04/21/2004 EXAMINER 25269 7590 DYKEMA GOSSETT PLLC HAWKINS, CHERYL N FRANKLIN SQUARE, THIRD FLOOR WEST ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1300 I STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20005 1734

DATE MAILED: 04/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/913,408	MONTAGNER, SILVIO
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Cheryl N Hawkins	1734
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
THE REPLY FILED 15 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.		
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:		
(a)		
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or		
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.		
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)□ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. Other:		
St	RICHARD CRISPINO JPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700	Cheryl M. Hawkins April 14, 2004

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Application No.

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendments to Claims 1 and 9 would require an additional search, because the Examiner has not previously considered a method for forming a panel which includes applying a thermoformable coating onto the panel prior to formin and inserting a corner-covering element.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In response to the Applicant's arguments that the order of the claims as previously presented was limited to applying the thermoformable coating after removing material from the bottom corner and replacing it with a shaped corner-covering element, the Examiner agrees that the claims as previously presented required that the application of the thermoformable coating be performed after removing material from the bottom corner, but the Examiner maintains that the claims did not provide any limitation as to whether the application of the thermoformable coating was to be performed prior to or subsequent to the insertion of the corner-covering element.

In response to the Applicant's arguments that the language "up to at least as far as the milled edge" is meant to convey the idea that the thermoformable material is flush with or co-terminus with the milled edge, the Examiner disagrees with this interpretation and asserts that the one of ordinary skill in the art would interpret the terminology "up to at least as far as" to mean that the thermoformable coating at a minimum would reach the milled edge but could be extended beyond that point.